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Abstract—1. The burst-and-coast behavior and tail beat frequency were analysed to determine if an energetic saving was realized for fish when swimming in formation.
2. Individual Notemigonus crysoleucas were compared as they swam singly or in a school of five at 0.15 m/sec in a flow tank.
3. No significant difference was found in time intervals of bursting and coasting between leading fish in the school and solitary fish.
4. Trailing fish in the school were significantly different from the other fish with increased coasting time and decreased burst time by 19%, which was calculated to provide a maximum energy saving of over 20%; however, higher tail beat frequencies of trailing fish indicate greater relative velocities compared to solitary or leading fish.
5. These results infer that energy savings through the collective use of schooling and burst-and-coast behaviors is sacrificed.

INTRODUCTION

For any organism, the effective use of energy can provide an important advantage in terms of evolutionary success as well as short term survival. Energy economy in swimming by fish has been suggested as one of a number of possible advantages to schooling (Breder, 1967, 1976; Belyayev and Zuyev, 1969; Weihs, 1973, 1975; Shaw, 1978). Swimming in polarized formations may influence the flow of water around adjacent individuals in the formation, thereby reducing drag with a concomitant decrease in the individual's energy cost of locomoting. It was hypothesized that trailing fish in a school take advantage of the flow pattern generated by leading fish for energy economy (Breder, 1965; Belyayev and Zuyev, 1969; Weihs, 1973; Abrahams and Colgan, 1985). The maximum duration of fish swimming in schools is 2–6 times longer than for single fish (Belyayev and Zuyev, 1969). Abrahams and Colgan (1985) measured the respiratory rate of fish schools and found a 13% reduction in the rate compared to the sum for individuals. Weihs (1973, 1975) proposed a hydrodynamic model that predicted a five-fold energy saving by steadily swimming in a fixed formation. Such a potential energy saving was suggested for schooling scombrids, that swim steadily in the appropriate formation (Magnuson, 1978).

In general, however, fish do not swim steadily. Many fish swim intermittently using a two-phase periodic behavior of alternating accelerations (burst phase) with glides (coast phase) (Videler and Weihs, 1982; Weihs and Webb, 1983). The burst-and-coast behavior has been shown to minimize the energy expenditure of fish (Weihs, 1974; Videler, 1981; Videler and Weihs, 1982). Weihs (1974) estimated that an energy saving of over 50% could be attained by fish using this behavior. Energy savings are realized while coasting compared to steady swimming, because drag on an undulating fish body is greater than drag for a coasting fish (Lighthill, 1971; Webb, 1975).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the interaction of schooling and burst-and-coast swimming for possible energy economy. Examination of kinematic variables was used for comparisons of solitary and schooling fish. It was expected that trailing fish in a school would experience an energetic saving with increased coasting times and decreased tail beat frequency during the burst phase compared to other fish.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals

Golden shiners (Notemigonus crysoleucas) were obtained commercially and maintained in the laboratory in groups of at least 30 individuals. Mean fork length (±SE) was 7.9 ± 0.08 cm with a range from 7 to 10 cm and mean maximum width was 0.87 ± 0.01 cm. The fish were held in a 3791 aquarium with continuously filtered water and dissolved oxygen levels maintained at saturation with air stones. Water temperature was maintained between 20 and 23°C and the light cycle was 12:12 hr. Fish were fed a diet of Purina trout chow.

Flow tank

Fish were tested in a recirculating flow tank, based on a design by Vogel and LaBarbera (1978). The working section of the flow tank was constructed of 1.2 cm clear Lucite and had dimensions of 49 x 16.5 x 10 cm. The working section was bounded upstream by a grid of 4 cm long plastic straws.
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that remove turbulence from the flow. The downstream end was bounded by a plastic grid (commercially termed "egg crate"). Wires attached to the downstream grid formed an electrified grid (10 V DC) which was controlled with a Grass S5 Stimulator. The floor and posterior wall of the working section were marked with a grid of 2 cm squares to be used as reference points. Simultaneous lateral and dorsal views of the fish were allowed by a mirror that was positioned over the working section at a 45° angle. The dorsal view of the fish was not distorted, because no surface waves were formed due to the low test velocity.

Water speed through the working section was controlled with a variable speed electric motor (Talboys Engineering Corp. Model 101) which drove a propeller situated downstream of the working section. Water speed was determined from the time a drop of ink traversed a measured distance.

Experimental procedure

An individual fish or a group of five fish, representing a school, was obtained randomly from the holding tank and tested in the working section of the flow tank. These fish remained in the working section with no flow for a 15-min acclimation period. All fish were tested at a water speed of 0.15 m/sec and were swum continuously for no more than 15 min.

Swimming performance was recorded on video tape using a television camera (TMC-2100) equipped with an Angenieux zoom lens (1:2.8, f = 15-150mm) and Panasonic video recorder (AG-6300). Filming rate was 30 frames/sec. Data were collected only for fish swimming at least 2 cm from the floor and walls of the observation section. In addition, three positions of the fish had to be in a configuration of two leading and one trailing before measurements were taken. Frame-by-frame analysis of video tape was used to measure position of fish in formation, coast time, burst time, and tail beat frequency. Average times were determined from five sequential burst and coast cycles.

The energy expenditure over an entire burst-and-coast swimming cycle ($W_{sc}$) was calculated as:

$$W_{sc} = W_s + W_c$$

where $W_s$ and $W_c$ are energies expended during burst and coast phases, respectively. Because the rate of energy expended for a body moving through water is equal to the product of drag force and velocity, equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$W_{sc} = 1/2 \rho S \Delta C_U U^{1/5} + 1/2 \rho S \Delta C_U U^{1/5}$$

and simplified to:

$$W_{sc} = 1/2 \rho S \Delta C_U U^{1/5} + 1/2 \rho S \Delta C_U U^{1/5}$$

where $\rho$ is the density of water, $S$ is wetted surface area of the fish, $C_D$ is the coefficient of drag, $U$ is velocity, $\Delta a$ and $\Delta t$ are drag augmentation factors, and $\Delta t$ and $t_c$ are times of burst and coast phases, respectively. $S$ was estimated from the equation cited by Webb (1975) using body length (L):

$$S = 0.4 L^2$$

$C_D$ was estimated from:

$$C_D = 1.33 R_{L^{0.5}}$$

where $R_L$ is the Reynolds’s Number of $1.2 \times 10^5$ calculated according to Webb (1975) and Blake (1983) and indicating laminar flow around the body. Drag augmentation factors range between 1 and 5 depending on the activity of the fish (Lighthill, 1971). When coasting, fish act like a rigid body with no increase in calculated $C_D$ and $\Delta a$ is assumed to be unity. However, drag increases in a flexing body compared to a rigid body by a factor of 3-6 (Lighthill, 1971; Webb et al., 1984). To estimate the maximum effect of burst-and-coast swimming in this study, $C_D$ was assumed to equal $S$ for actively swimming fish.
leading fish (Fig. 1). Coast time for trailing fish was 58 and 115% greater than coast times for leading and solitary fish, respectively. Coast time of 0.49 ± 0.04 sec for trailing fish was nearly equal to its burst time of 0.44 ± 0.03 sec (Fig. 1). Despite longer coast times observed for trailing fish compared with other fish, no significant differences were detected for comparisons of total time of burst-and-coast cycle between fish.

Burst-and-coast swimming provides substantial energy savings to individual fish, but is particularly economical for trailing fish in a school (Table 1). Although burst-and-coast times were equal for trailing fish, the energy expended during the coast phase represented only 22% of the energy from the burst phase. In comparison to solitary fish swimming continuously and leading fish, trailing fish displayed a 29 and 21% reduction in the cost of swimming, respectively.

**Tail beat frequency**

Tail beat frequencies for solitary and schooling fish are summarized in Fig. 2. A significant difference (Pc 0.005) between frequency means was found using ANOVA (Ryan et al., 1976). Although no significant difference were detected from comparisons (Tukey’s test) of solitary fish to schooling fish, a significant difference (Pc 0.05) was observed between leading and trailing fish. Trailing fish were found to have a mean tail beat frequency of 3.30 ± 0.14 Hz, which was 1.2 times greater than the 2.75 ± 0.07 Hz for leading fish.

**DISCUSSION**

Energy savings by formation swimming is due to the undulatory movements of fish which produce thrust-type vortices shed into the wake (Weihs, 1972). This produces a vortex street composed of a series of alternating vortices periodically generated at a rate equal to twice the tail beat frequency and arranged as two staggered rows (Prandtl and Tietjens, 1934; Webb, 1975; Vogel, 1981; Weihs and Webb, 1983). The angular velocity in a vortex influences the relative velocity of a fish swimming in the wake (Breder, 1965; Belyayev and Zuyev, 1969; Weihs, 1973, 1975). When the trailing fish is moving in the same direction as the tangential velocity, the fish should experience a reduction of its relative velocity (Weihs, 1973; Breder, 1976). Lowered relative velocities experienced by trailing fish will decrease the drag and power output needed to maintain the swimming speed of the school.

For the thrust-type vortex trail (Weihs, 1972), a lowered relative velocity is experienced by a fish swimming diagonally behind another fish (Weihs, 1973). The optimal arrangement of the school is a diamond pattern with angles of 30° and 150° and the distance in a row is twice the width of the vortex street between adjacent fish (Weihs, 1973, 1975). This pattern is frequently observed in fish schools (van Olst and Hunter, 1970; Breder, 1976). Theoretically, trailing fish would experience a relative velocity 40–50% of the free stream velocity and a 46 times reduction in resistive forces (Weihs, 1975).

Our observations indicate that energy economy through the use of burst-and-coast behavior can be enhanced by swimming in formation, particularly for trailing fish. By swimming between the wakes of two leading fish, a trailing fish may experience a reduction in its relative velocity. A lowered relative velocity would allow for increased coast times and decreased burst times without a reduction of absolute velocity. Subsequently, swimming effort is reduced for trailing fish while swimming speed is equivalent to leading fish in the school.

Energy savings proposed for golden shiners due to the interaction of burst-and-coast behavior and formation swimming, however, may be overly optimistic. For maximal savings, schooling fish with should swim continuously with antiphase tail motions (Weihs, 1973, 1975). Burst-and-coast behavior and differences expressed between leading and trailing fish would not provide the synchrony necessary for a constant and predictable vortex wake. In addition, the prolonged coast phase of trailing fish with its associated downstream displacement prevents these fish from maintaining a position for continuous energy savings.

The wake of coasting fish provides no pattern of vorticity to be exploited for energy savings by fish downstream. This is because the fish, acting as a streamlined body, has a small wake with little disturbance to the flow (Webb, 1975). Aleyev (1977) observed that a dye discharged from the gill slits of coasting fish showed no vortices in the wake. Similar results were obtained from photographs of the wake of a Zebra Danio (Brachydanio rerio) (McCotuchen, 1977). Therefore, trailing fish in a diamond formation would experience higher relative velocities and increased energetic expense when following coasting fish.

Although a mean angle of 37.5° calculated between three shiners approached the optimum angle of 30° predicted by Weihs (1973, 1975), the trailing fish was positioned with its snout upstream of the leading fish tails. Partridge and Pitcher (1979) noted that in this
position trailing fish could not use thrust vortices produced by leading fish, because the vortices would not have sufficient time to develop before the trailing fish encountered it. Maximum energy savings were assumed when fish were downstream of leading fish at least five times the distance moved in one beat cycle (Weihs, 1975).

Higher tail beat frequency exhibited by trailing fish indicates an increase in locomotor effort compared to solitary and leading fish. This finding runs counter to the frequency arrangement hypothesized for this study and previous results (Zuyev and Belyayev, 1970). Trailing fish should show low frequencies if relative velocity is reduced due to the hydrodynamic effect of the school formation, because tail beat frequency is positively related to swimming velocity in fish (Bainbridge, 1958; Hunter and Zweifel, 1971; Webb, 1975; Stevens, 1979). Zuyev and Belyayev (1979) found that for schooling horse mackerel (Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus) the tail beat frequency of trailing fish was 71–85% of the frequency for leading fish. The tail beat frequency for Pacific mackerel (Scomber japonicus) found that for schooling horse mackerel (Trachurus mediterraneus ponticus) the tail beat frequency of trailing fish was 71–85% of the frequency for leading fish. The tail beat frequency for Pacific mackerel (Scomber japonicus) swimming in groups was found to be lower than for solitary fish (Fields, 1990).

Differences in frequency between schools of mackerel and shiners may be due to different swimming patterns. Although mackerel periodically exhibit sharp forward bursts or glides with noticeable decelerations, swimming is uniform and position is held constant for the majority of time (Zuyev and Belyayev, 1970). High frequencies by trailing shiners results from constant use of burst-and-coast swimming. The downstream displacement caused by a prolonged coast phase requires an acceleration by the trailing fish to restore its position behind the leaders. Therefore, the trailing fish must swim at a velocity greater than the average velocity of the school incurring an increased tail beat frequency. The large accelerations during the burst will limit energy savings derived from the prolonged coast. In addition, the small size of the fish and low swimming speed examined in this study further limits energetic performance in that burst-and-coast swimming becomes more economical as Reynolds’s Number increases (Videler and Weihs, 1982).

The behaviors of schooling and burst-and-coast swimming offer individually the possibility of energy economy during continuous locomotion. However, simultaneous use of both behaviors restricts attainment of maximal performance by either behavior alone. The effectiveness of either strategy is compromised due to differences associated with the flow regimes for each behavior and problems of orientation and positioning within an actively swimming school. Spacing between schooling individuals is influenced by optical fixation on fellow fish (van Oost and Hunter, 1970; Breder, 1976). The leading fish is used as a reference and trailing fish more closely approach leading fish than other neighboring fish (van Oost and Hunter, 1970). The difficulty of maintaining an exact position in a school has been likened to the organization of automobiles in free-flowing traffic (Breder, 1976), where the reception of sensory stimuli and associated response times contribute to irregularities in the instantaneous structure of the formation. Thus, energy savings due to burst-and-coast swimming by trailing fish may be incidental to maintaining position in the school.
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